The Use of Phosphine Ligands to Control the Regiochemistry of Pd-Catalyzed Hydrostannations of 1-Alkynes: Synthesis of (E)-1-Tributylstannyl-1-alkenes

Alla Darwish, Adam Lang, Thomas Kim, and J. Michael Chong*

Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry and Biochemistry (GWC)², *Department of Chemistry, Uni*V*ersity of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1*

jmchong@uwaterloo.ca

Received December 11, 2007

ABSTRACT $Bu₃SnH$ SnBu₃ cat. $Pd_2(dba)_3$ Cy₃PHBF₄/i-Pr₂NEt

The radical-induced hydrostannation of alkynes is a wellestablished method for the preparation of vinylstannanes, compounds of synthetic interest as precursors to vinyllithiums and as substrates for palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling (Stille) reactions.1 Unfortunately, such hydrostannations often result in mixtures of regio- and stereoisomers. The development of transition metal-catalyzed hydrostannations, particularly with Pd- and Mo-based systems, in the 1980s solved some of the problems associated with the radical process.² In particular, only products of syn-hydrostannation were observed. However, regiochemistries with terminal alkynes were often low (Scheme 1). With molybdenum catalysts, regioselectivities were often close to $1:1³$ while with palladium catalysts, regioselectivities were variable with poor selectivities observed in some cases and excellent selectivities in others-high selectivities for (E) -1-tributylstannyl-1-alkenes were only observed with sterically biased systems.

Although (*E*)-1-tributylstannyl-1-alkenes are useful synthetic intermediates, 4 it appears that no determined efforts have been made to improve the regioselectivity of Pd-

^{*a*} Data from ref 2. Catalysts used were $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ and $\text{(allyl)Mo(CO)}_{2}\text{(CH}_{3}\text{CN)}_{2}\text{Br}.$

ORGANIC LETTERS

⁽¹⁾ Reviews: (a) Smith, N. D.; Mancuso, J.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Re*V*.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *¹⁰⁰*, 3257-3282. (b) Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T. *Synthesis* **²⁰⁰⁵**, 853- 887.

⁽²⁾ Zhang, H. X.; Guibe´, F.; Balavoine, G. *J. Org. Chem*. **1990**, *55*, ¹⁸⁵⁷-1867.

⁽³⁾ More recent work with Mo catalysts has led to the development of methods to selectively prepare 2-tributylstannyl-1-alkenes with good regioselectivities and is thus complementary to the method described herein: (a) Kazmaier, U.; Schauss, D.; Pohlman, M. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 1017-1019. (b) Dörrenbächer, S.; Kazmaier, U.; Ruf, S. *Synlett* **2006**, 547-550. (c) Lin, H.; Kazmaier, U. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **²⁰⁰⁷**, 2839-2843.

catalyzed hydrostannation of nonhindered 1-alkynes by modifying the Pd catalyst.⁵ The lack of activity in this area may be attributable to an observation made during some of the early work on metal-catalyzed hydrostannations that "attempts to modify the regiochemistry by changing the catalyst and, in particular, the steric bulk of the ligands, met with no success."2 Thus, more circuitous routes such as the use of 1-halo-1-alkynes were developed.^{2,6} More recently, strategies involving modified stannanes such as trineophyltin hydride⁷ and Bu₂SnClH have been reported.⁸ For select substrates such as α , β -acetylenic carbonyl compounds, copper-catalyzed hydrostannations proceed with high regioselectivities.⁹ We now report that substitution of other phosphine ligands in place of Ph3P in Pd-catalyzed hydrostannations gives considerably improved regioselectivities.

We chose to begin our studies with a propargylic alcohol, 3-butyn-2-ol, partly since we expected that the regioisomeric products would be readily separable from each other and from nonpolar stannane byproducts by flash chromatography. We were delighted to find that the phosphine ligand does, in fact, dramatically influence the regiochemistry (Table 1).

Table 1.	Hydrostannation of 1a with Various Catalysts			
OH CH ₃ 1a	Bu_3SnH Bu ₃ Sn cat. $Pd_2(dba)_{3}$, R_3P or (R ₃ P) ₂ PdCl ₂	OH CH ₃ 2a	OH CH ₃ SnBu ₃ 3a	
		2a:3a	vield of	
entry	catalyst ^{a,b}	$ratio^c$	$2a^d$ (%)	
1	$(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$	68:32	57	
$\overline{2}$	$(o\text{-tol}_3P)_2PdCl_2$	80:20	60	
3	$(Cv_3P)_2PdCl_2$	95:5	84	
4	t -Bu ₂ PCH ₂ t-Bu	96:4	70	
5	t -Bu $_3P$	100:0	72	
6	$(2$ -furyl $)$ ₃ P	40:60	22	
7	TTMPP ^e	47:53	45	
8	$ArPCv_2f$	77:23	63	

^a 1% Pd, 2% phosphine, toluene, rt, 2 h. *^b* Catalyst precursor or ligand used with Pd₂(dba)₃. ^c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures. *^d* Isolated yield after flash chromatography. *^e* Tris(2,4,6 trimethoxyphenyl)phosphine. f Ar $= 2-(2', 6'$ -dimethoxybiphenyl).

The observed regioselectivity with $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ as catalyst precursor (entry 1, $2a:3a = 68:32$) was in line with

(9) (a) Leung, L. T.; Leung, S. K.; Chiu, P. *Org. Lett*. **²⁰⁰⁵**, *⁷*, 5249-

5252. (b) Leung, L. T.; Chiu, P. *Pure Appl. Chem*. **²⁰⁰⁶**, *⁷⁸*, 281-285. (c) Miao, R.; Li, S.; Chiu, P. *Tetrahedron* **²⁰⁰⁷**, *⁶³*, 6737-6740.

selectivities reported previously for similar 2° propargylic alcohols (trans: $\text{gem} = 76:24$ to 80:20). Bulky trialkylphosphines all gave substantially higher regioselectivities in favor of the desired trans*-*isomer (entries 3-5). Somewhat perplexingly, while *t*-Bu3P gave none of the gem isomer **3a** (entry 5), the isolated yield of **2a** with this ligand was significantly lower than when $(Cy_3P)_2PdCl_2$ was used, suggesting the possibility of an undetected side reaction.

To probe for possible side reactions, alkyne **1b** was chosen to be sterically representative of a straight-chain alkyne but contained an alcohol group to facilitate the chromatographic separation of products. This compound was treated with Bu₃-SnH under a variety of conditions with very enlightening results (Table 2). By using the "usual" $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ catalyst,

^a Mol % of catalyst and additives, toluene, rt, 2 h. *^b* Determined by 1H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures. c Ar = 2-(2',6'-dimethoxybiphenyl).

hydrostannation of either **1a** or **1b** gave virtually identical results, i.e., a 2:1 mixture of regioisomers (entry 1). However, while hydrostannation of **1b** with $(Cy_3P)_2PdCl_2$ proceeded with the same regioselectivity as that observed for **1a** (entry 2, trans: gem $= 95:5$), a substantial amount of reduction product **4b** was also formed. Moreover, with *t*-Bu3P, while only a trace of gem-product **3b** was detected, the major product formed was **4b**. ¹⁰ With other propargylic alcohols examined, up to 40% reduction was observed when *t*-Bu3P was used. Thus it seems likely that reduction (producing relatively volatile and thus undetected 3-buten-2-ol) may have been a significant side reaction with use of *t*-Bu3P with alkyne **1a.**

For hydrostannations of **1b** involving Cy_3P , the amount of reduction product could be dramatically decreased by

⁽⁴⁾ Recent examples: (a) Volgraf, M.; Gorostiza, P.; Szobota, S.; Helix, M. R.; Isacoff, E. Y.; Trauner, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **²⁰⁰⁷**, *¹²⁹*, 260-261. (b) Hosoya, T.; Sumi, K.; Doi, H.; Wakao, M.; Suzuki, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 410-415. (c) Gómez, A. M.; Barrio, A.; Amurrio, I.; Valverde, S.; Jarosz, S.; Lo´pez, J. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *⁴⁷*, 6243- 6246.

⁽⁵⁾ The effect of different catalysts on the hydrostannation of a propargylglycine derivative has been reported: Crisp, G. T.; Gebauer, M. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁷**, *⁵³²*, 83-88.

⁽⁶⁾ Boden, C. D. J.; Pattenden, G.; Ye, T. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.*

¹ **¹⁹⁹⁶**, 2417-2419. (7) Dodero, V. I.; Koll, L. C.; Mandolesi, S. D.; Podesta´, J. C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **²⁰⁰²**, *⁶⁵⁰*, 173-180.

⁽⁸⁾ Miura, K.; Wang, D.; Hosomi, A. *Synlett* **²⁰⁰⁵**, 406-410.

⁽¹⁰⁾ To test if **4b** might arise from protiodestannylation of **2b**, **2b** was isolated and re-subjected to the reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 3). No **4b** was detected.

varying the reaction conditions. With commercial (Aldrich) $(Cy_3P)_2PdCl_2$, up to 30% of the crude reaction mixture was the undesired alkene **4b**. Freshly prepared and purified $(Cy_3P)_2PdCl_2^{11}$ gave less **4b** (typically $5-10\%$) but gave
unacceptably low conversions. After considerable experiunacceptably low conversions. After considerable experimentation it was found that the best results were obtained when the catalyst was prepared in situ from $Pd_2(dba)$ ₃, Cy_3 -PHBF₄, and *i*-Pr₂NEt.¹² It proved to be important to have an excess of amine compared to $Cy₃PHBF₄$ in order to minimize the formation of $4b$ (compare Table 2, entries $4-6$). The role of excess amine is not obvious but we speculate that it may serve a coordinative role to inhibit the pathway leading to reduction. The importance of coordination can also be inferred by comparing the reactions shown in Table 2, entries 7 and 8; considerably less reduction was observed when using the ligand bearing methoxy groups.

A relatively small, electron-rich phosphine, *n*-Bu3P, increased the ratio of $2b:3b$ (entry 9) while $(2$ -furyl)₃P slightly decreased the ratio (entry 10, compared to Ph_3P , entry 1), suggesting that both electronic and steric effects are important in determining the regioselectivity of these reactions. In general, bulky electron-rich phosphines favored formation of **2b** but there was no overall clear correlation between steric bulk and regioselectivity.¹³

Table 3. Hydrostannation of Alkynes with $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{PdCl}_2$

(condition A) and $Pd_2(dba)_{3}/Cy_{3}PHBF_{4}/i-Pr_{2}NEt$ (condition B) ^a				
	Bu_3SnH	Bu ₃ Sn		
Н	conditions ^a		SnBu ₃	
		$\overline{2}$	3	
entry		$2:3^b$ ratio, yield of 2^c		
(alkyne)	R	cond. A	cond. B	
1(1b)	(CH ₂) ₉ OH	$67:33,50\%$	$96:4,87\%$	
2(1c)	(CH ₂) ₉ OTBS	$75:25,53\%$	96:4, 86%	
3(1d)	CH_2OH	40:60, 31%	67:33, 61%	
4(1e)	CH ₂ OTBS	29:71, 37%	77:23, 64%	
5(1f)	(CH ₂) ₂ OH	56:44, 42%	83:17, 70%	
6(1g)	(CH ₂) ₃ OH	$67:33, 45\%$	94:6, 84%	
7(1h)	(CH ₂) ₄ OH	68:32, 52%	95:5,85%	
8(1i)	$CH(OH)n-C5H11$	77:23, 57%	95:5, 82%	
9(1j)	$CH(OTBS)n - C_5H_{11}$	77:23, 50%	96:4, 77%	
10(1k)	$CH(OH)i-Bu$	76:24, 55%	96:4, 83%	
11(1l)	$CH(OH)i-Pr$	$76:24,56\%$	97:3, 86%	
12(1m)	CH(OH)Ph	$61:39,50\%$	82:18, 76%	
13(1n)	$CH_2CH(N=CPh_2)CO_2Me$	50:50, 43%	84:16, 79%	
14(1o)	(CH ₂) ₂ OAc	48:52, 82%	85:15, 80%	
15(1p)	(CH ₂) ₂ OTBS	$62:38,79\%$	$91:9,83\%$	
16(1q)	Ph	56:44, 73%	81:19, 74%	
17(1r)	CO ₂ Me	0:100,76%	0:100, 23%	

^a Condition A: 1% (Ph3P)2PdCl2, toluene, rt, 2 h. Condition B: 0.5% Pd2(dba)3, 2% Cy3PHBF4, 4% *ⁱ*-Pr2NEt, toluene, rt, 2 h. *^b* Determined by 1H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures. *^c* Isolated yield of **²** after flash chromatography. For entries 1, 2, 7, and $14-16$, the yield is of a mixture of **2** and **3** which were inseparable; for entry 17, the yield is of **3**.

We applied the conditions which gave optimal results for the hydrostannation of alkyne **1b** with $Pd_2(dba)$ ₃/Cy₃PHBF₄/ i -Pr₂NEt to other alkynes and compared the results with hydrostannations using $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ (Table 3).¹⁴ In almost all cases, the catalyst system with $Cy₃P$ gave much higher selectivity for the 1-stannylalkene, with regioselectivities of trans: gem $= 95:5$ commonly observed. With a series of alkynyl alcohols $HCC(CH_2)_nOH$ ($n = 1-4$), regioselectivities depended on chain length, with shorter chain lengths giving more gem isomer but the ratio was \sim 95:5 with *n* = 3 and above. Compared to 2-propyn-1-ol and 3-butyn-1-ol, the corresponding TBS ethers gave higher regioselectivities.15 With substituted (2°) propargyl alcohols and a TBS ether, regioselectivities were uniformly high. Methyl propiolate afforded only the geminal isomer with either catalyst system but the reaction with $Cy₃P$ was not clean and only a low yield of **3r** was isolated.

The shift in regioselectivity with bulkier ligands may be explained by invoking a mechanistic model involving hydropalladation rather than stannylpalladation (Scheme 2).^{1b}

If the reaction proceeds via hydropalladation, one would expect that alkynes bearing larger R groups would give more of the 1-stannylalkene **2** since intermediate **C** would be disfavored for steric reasons. This is consistent with previous observations with alkynes such as *tert-*butylacetylene. One would also expect that larger ligands would also lead to increased steric interactions in **C** and therefore higher regioselectivities in favor of alkene **2**.

From a synthetic viewpoint, hydrostannation products **2** can be easily separated from geminal isomers **3** (which are

⁽¹¹⁾ Alper, H.; Grushin, V. V.; Bensimon, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, ⁴⁸⁰⁴-4806.

⁽¹²⁾ Use of HBF4 salts of trialkylphosphines is preferred to use of the free phosphine: Netherton, M. R.; Fu, G. C. *Org. Lett*. **²⁰⁰¹**, *³*, 4295- 4298.

⁽¹³⁾ Tolman cone angles for some of the phosphines are the following: *n*-Bu3P, 132°; Ph3P, 145°; Cy3P, 170°; and *t*-Bu3P, 182°. Tolman, C. A. *Chem. Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁷⁷**, *⁷⁷*, 313-348. The effective cone angle for (2-furyl)3P (149°) is very similar to that for Ph3P (148°): Meijboom, R.; Muller, A. *Acta Crystallogr.* **²⁰⁰⁶**, *E62*, m2642-m2644.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Results reported are for reactions run in toluene but reactions of **1b** with $Pd_2(dba)_3/Cy_3PHBF_4/i-Pr_2NEt$ in a variety of other solvents (THF, ether, hexanes, CH₂Cl₂) gave very similar results. We now routinely use CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C for these hydrostannations.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The effect of different oxygen substituents on the regioselectivity of Pd-catalyzed hydrostannations has been documented: Rice, M. B.; Whitehead, S. L.; Horvath, C. M.; Muchnij, J. A.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr. *Synthesis* **²⁰⁰¹**, 1495-1504.

less polar) by flash chromatography on silica gel when the substrates are propargyl alcohols. However, separations become increasingly difficult as the functional group becomes more remote and compounds such as **2b** and **3b** are inseparable. This may be problematic in some cases but it is known that 2-stannylalkenes (such as **3**) are notoriously slow to undergo Stille couplings,16 one of the major applications of vinylstannanes, so may not interfere with many intended applications of 1-stannylalkenes **2**.

To test this supposition, we treated a mixture of **2c** and **3c** (96:4, respectively) with benzoyl chloride with a catalytic amount of $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{PdCl}_2$ in THF; only enone 5 was isolated (Scheme 3, 98% yield based on **2c**) and stannane **3c** was

recovered unchanged. In fact, hydrostannation/Stille coupling could be carried out in one pot to produce the desired enone **5** in good yield.¹⁷

To further illustrate the utility of this hydrostannation chemistry, we applied it to the synthesis of (*E*,*E*)-10,12 hexadecadienal, a sex pheromone component of the spotted bollworm (*Earias* V*ittella*) and spiny bollworm (*E. insulana*) (Scheme 4).18 Thus hydrostannation of alkyne **1b** under our new conditions provided a 96:4 mixture of vinylstannanes **2b** and **3b**. Treatment of this mixture with (*E*)-1-iodo-1 pentene¹⁹ under Stille conditions [cat. $(CH_3CN)_2PdCl_2$, CuI, DMF]20 furnished the desired dienyl alcohol **6** in excellent

yield and stereochemical purity. Subsequent oxidation with PDC completes a short, efficient (3 steps, 82% overall yield from **1b**) synthesis of pheromone **7**. ²¹ While other alkyne hydrometalation/cross-coupling strategies could also be employed, one advantage of hydrostannation is that protection of hydroxyl groups is not necessary.

In summary, we have shown that, contrary to popular belief, ligands can profoundly affect the regioselectivity of palladium-catalyzed hydrostannations of 1-alkynes. High selectivities to produce synthetically useful (*E*)-1-stannyl-1-alkenes may be achieved by using $Pd_2(dba)_3/Cy_3PHBF_4/$ *i*-Pr₂NEt for a wide range of alkynes. This system gives much better regioselectivities than the traditional Ph₃P-based catalysts currently in use. Additional work is underway to expand the scope of these hydrostannations and to better understand the different possible mechanistic pathways.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for financial support and Undergraduate Student Research Awards (to A.L. and T.K.) and FMCLithium for a generous gift of *t*-Bu2PCH2*t-*Bu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data for stannanes **2a**-**^q** and **3a**-**^r** and compounds **⁵**-**7**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Corey, E. J.; Han, X.; Stoltz, B. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, **OL702982X**
7600–7605. ⁷⁶⁰⁰-7605. (17) One-pot hydrostannation/Stille couplings have been reported: (a)

Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Terstiege, I. *J. Org. Chem.* **¹⁹⁹⁸**, *⁶³*, 9622-9623. (b) Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Lavis, J. M.; Clark, D. H.; Gallagher, W. P. *Org. Lett.* **²⁰⁰⁰**, *²*, 3655-3658.

^{(18) (}a) Cork, A.; Chamberlain, D. J.; Beevor, P. S.; Hall, D. R.; Nesbitt, B. F.; Campion, D. G.; Attique, M. R. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **¹⁹⁸⁸**, *¹⁴*, 929-945. (b) Adati, T.; Tatsuki, S. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **¹⁹⁹⁹**, *²⁵*, 105-115.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Zweifel, G.; Whitney, C. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁶⁷**, *⁸⁹*, 2754- 2755.

⁽²⁰⁾ Stille, J. K.; Groh, B. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **¹⁹⁸⁷**, *¹⁰⁹*, 813-817. (21) Other syntheses: (a) Yadav, J. S.; Deshpande, P. K.; Reddy, E. R. *Synth. Commun.* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, *¹⁹*, 125-134. (b) Klug, J. T.; Skorka, J.; Shani, A. *Chem. Ind.* **¹⁹⁸²**, 372-373.